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What is Antitrust Law about?

**Case 1:** The 7 main producers of vitamin-pills agree to jointly raise prices by 20%. Furthermore they split up the market in different regions. Every company is assigned a separate region with exclusive distribution rights.

**Case 2:** M is the world's biggest software company. It possesses a market share of 95% for operating-systems. M decides to reward a 20% rebate for its operating systems to all those computer-sellers who also install the company's new browser software.
Getting an “idea”

Antitrust Law is about ...

- undertakings
- commercial behavior
- competition
- consumers
- big money
The Normative Dimension

**Problem:** Which behavior should be banned?
What is “good”, what is “bad” competition?
Why?

A question of philosophy…

- Freedom
- Efficiency
- Other concepts
Other concepts

Wide range of possibilities

Depends on tradition, culture, religion etc.

Specific Indonesian approach?

For Example: Socialism; “Fair Boxing Game”; Spiritualism; Anarchy and more …

Even true for countries with identical statutes – Example: German Civil Code in Japan

Islamic tradition? Others? Interesting field for research and innovation!
Various Functions, (Side-) Effects,

Competition Law has various positive (side-) effects, that can also serve as moral justification

- **social/political**
  - redistribution and spreading of wealth and power; protection of consumers and SMEs; equal opportunities…
- **economical**
  - encourages R&D; enables price mechanism; leads to market integration (EU-goal)…
- **other**
  - regional policy; issues of unemployment; decentralisation…
Freedom - Foundations

Freedom: Still the most important but also most controversial topic of “western civilisation”

History
- Struggle against aristocracy
- Bills of rights
- French Revolution
- Modern Constitutions

Philosophy
- GB: Mill; Locke
- D: Kant; Fichte
- F: Rousseau, Montesquieu
- Rawls, Habermas...

Situation today
- “International Bill of Human Rights”
- Regional agreements
- National constitutions
- …
Freedom - Aspects

- freedom of speech, press and expression; religion…
- freedom to vote; to demonstrate…
- equal protection, due process…

- freedom of
  - contract
  - ownership
  - establishment
  - competition
Efficiency

Historical foundation: Utilitarianism

Assumptions:
- given individual preferences
- wealth maximisation as goal

Utility <-> Preference
Efficiency Criteria

**Pigou:** Maximisation of overall wealth. Tendency for redistribution because of diminishing marginal returns.

**Pareto:** Situation A is more efficient than situation B if one person is better off and the others don’t end up worse than before.

**Kaldor/Hicks:** The benefiting person must be able to compensate others so that again no one ends up worse than before.
Case 1: The 7 main producers of vitamin-pills agree to jointly raise prices by 20%. Furthermore they split up the market in different regions. Every company is assigned a separate region with exclusive rights of distribution.
Monopolies and Freedom

- One aspect of the case: creation of local monopolies
- Consumers can no longer choose. They have to accept the conditions dictated.
- Commercial freedoms are not unlimited. Companies should prosper because of the good quality and price of their product; not manipulation, market power etc.
- The state should take steps to ensure the freedom to chose; should protect diversity of options

monopolies are unwanted
Monopolies and Efficiency

- monopolist will demand higher prices and produce less than under stiff competition
  - reason: monopolist can set the price as he wishes; whereas normal competitors take price as given

- this reduces welfare, especially on the side of the consumers, but also overall

- less incentives for effective production, general “slackness”
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Case 2: M is the world's biggest software company. It possesses a market share of 95% for operating-systems. M decides to reward a 20% rebate for its operating systems to all those computer-sellers who also install the company’s new browser software.
Discussion of Case 2

Suggestions please…